## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alcxandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/625,845	07/24/2003	Kunio Yokoi	01-448	9542		
23400 POSZ LAW G	7590 12/03/2007 POLIP PLC		EXAM	EXAMINER		
12040 SOUTH	LAKES DRIVE	RIDER, JU	RIDER, JUSTIN W			
SUITE 101 RESTON, VA	20191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			2626			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			12/03/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/625,845	YOKOI ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Justin W. Rider	2626

	Justin W. Rider	2626						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 17 November 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
· · = · · · · · · -	a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mai (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN T 06.07(f).	iling date of the final rejecti THE FIRST REPLY WAS F	ion. ILED WITHIN					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	, to avoid dismissal of th						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a bri	ef will not be entered b	ecause					
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see Now); tter form for appeal by materially corresponding number of finally	NOTE below); reducing or simplifying						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			( <b>776</b> )					
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>	):							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		will be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under ap y and was not earlier presented.	peal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	r entry is below or attacl	ned.					
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>		n in condition for allowa	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	<del>-</del>	,					
13.	, –		1					
	RICH	HEMOND DORVIL DRY PATENT EXAMIN	NER					
	SUPERVISO	#1 t1 t7 t1						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding, "a function setting detection..." limitation as discussed on pages 8-9 of Remarks, the examiner disagrees with the assertion that the function detection occurs in response to 'environmental' conditions (e.g. Nighttime). In addition to paragraph [0012], the Abstract discloses wherein, "a body ECU 30 determines whether the command execution is allowed or inhibited [i.e. function settings of target device] according to current travel conditions." In this case, an equipment controller is acting as the target device. This device is responsible for the controlling of automated and mechanical systems throughout the vehicle. Therefore, the ECU is responsible for making a plurality of determinations regarding states of the controller. These states include operations such as current positions of windows, headlight actuations and the like which represent current travel conditions. Further down the line of operations is an 'environmental' condition determination, which works in conjunction, not in place of, the equipment controller and the function settings contained within. In this respect, the 'environmental' condition is determined in certain situations [e.g. rain, nighttime, etc] and then passed on to the device controller to work toward implementing certain device function settings to be determined by ECU in the same manner as that of applicants function setting detection step. Therefore, the examiner does not consider "Nighttime" a function setting of a target device but merely an external condition that leads to a function setting within a device controller.